Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,443	OLDENBURG, MICHAEL R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	•
	Alison K. Pickard	3673	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		-
(1) <u>Alison K. Pickard</u> .	(3)		- 10
(2) <u>R. Wangerow</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 April 2006	Time:		****
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		***
Part I.			*
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			
Claims discussed: 48, 49, 65			***
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			*
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	40
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since the	substance
awhile			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature - if appro-	oriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner called to discuss minor changes to put the claims in condition for allowance. The changes to claims 48 and 49 were to correct a 112 2nd problem and to provide proper antecedent basis for the flange housing portion. Claim 65 depended on a cancelled claim, and claim 64 includes the language of 65 already. The changes were approved and entered via examiner's amendment.